SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 1999 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S079214 In re Arthur Torlucci

on

Habeas Corpus

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

S079397 In re Arthur Torlucci

on

Habeas Corpus

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

S079556 In re Kwan Young Chin

on

Habeas Corpus

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

S079837 In re Antonio Rubio Torres

on

Habeas Corpus

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

S070418 Washington Mutual Bank, Petitioner

v.

Orange County Superior Court, Respondent

Jayne A. Briseno et al., Real Parties in Interest

On application of real parties in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including July 27, 1999.

S076167 Roberto Cruz et al., Appellants

v.

John Briseno et al., Respondents

On application of respondent Briseno and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including July 9, 1999.

S077074 In re Jesse J. Calhoun

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response is extended to and including August 26, 1999.

S080249 Richard A. Barker, Petitioner

v.

Fresno County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v*. *Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.

Bar Misc. In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S078342 In re **Michael Newton Alexander** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Michael Newton Alexander** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed January 20, 1999, as modified by its order filed March 4, 1989. It is further ordered that

he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and shall be payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7.

S078344 In re **Edgar Russell Carver**, **Jr.** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Edgar Russell Carver**, **Jr.** be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including 18 months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed February 8, 1999. Credit towards the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on May 9, 1997 (In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270). It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 as amended effective January 1, 1997. *(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S078345 In re **Peter Rind Van Petten** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Peter Rind Van Petten** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed November 9, 1998. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule

within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and shall be payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S078350 In re **Tracy Lynn Stewart** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Tracy Lynn Stewart** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including six months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed January 13, 1999. It is further ordered that she comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2000, 2001, and 2002. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S078352 In re **Shaun Orville Allicock** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Shaun Orville Allicock** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S078353 In re **Timothy W. Elliott** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Timothy W. Elliott** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S078468 In re **Vincent Craig Williams** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Vincent Craig Williams** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year on condition that he be actually suspended for 60 days. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with section 6140.7.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S078469 In re **Steven Kroff** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Steven Kroff** be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed December 29, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) He is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and shall be added to and become part of the membership fee for the next calendar year. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6140.7.)

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S078494 In re **Frank Bruce Levy** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Frank Bruce Levy** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)